

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,375	05/30/2000	Hideho Une	450100-02519	1327
20999	7590 01/24/2005		EXAM	INER
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			GENCO, BRIAN C	
NEW YORK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/583,375	UNE ET AL.				
navioury ristion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian C Genco	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
herefore, further action by the applicant is requinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice oxamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	ither: (1) a timely filed amendm of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (nent which places the application in the second state of the second seco	ion in			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expirately ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(at ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the objective of the content of the period of the	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set re later than SIX MONTHS from the mail PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH). The date on which the petition under 3 d of extension and the corresponding among shortened statutory period for reply origin	ing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See No. 15 of THE FINAL REJECTION. See No. 15 of THE FINAL REJECTION. See No. 15 of THE REJECTION. See No. 15 of THE REJECTION. The final Office action; or (2) and the final Office action; or (3) and the final Office action.	MPEP tension fee sion fee under as set forth in			
ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof 						
■ The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	According to the control of the cont					

(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ____

application in condition for allowance because:

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

issues for appeal; and/or

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/583,375

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments to claims 1 and 6 do not merely cancel claims, adopt Examiner suggestions, remove issues for appeal, or in some other way require only a cursory review by the Examiner. Accordingly, further search and/or consideration is required by the Examiner.

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600